

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,982	10/31/2003	Christoph Zechner	5358	8961
36454	7590 03/10/2006		EXAMINER	
SYNOPSYS, INC. C/O HAYNES BEFFEL & WOLFELD LLP			LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G	
P.O. BOX 366 HALF MOON	o NBAY, CA 94019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2877	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4.4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/697,982	ZECHNER, CHRISTO	)PH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. G. Lauchman	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	!ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provision of the provisions	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· ·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,19-21 and 25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 9-11 and 22-24 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure	ents have been received. Ents have been received in initionity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/2004.</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

.. , &

Application/Control Number: 10/697,982

Art Unit: 2877

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1, 19, 20 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claim 1, the phrase "particularly" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Also, in line 5, the last word "interfac" is missing letter "e".

In claim 20, a period is missing at the end of the claim.

In claims 19 and 22, SPE and LPE regrowths (solid and liquid phase epitaxial regrowths) should be spelled out at least once.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 12-20, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by M. Orlowski, "New Model for Dopant Redistribution at Interfaces" (Applied Physics Lett., vol. 55, No. 17, October 1989). The article discloses a computer simulation method for dopant redistribution at interfaces by calculating local evolution of a changing interface (a third phase) between two neighboring phases. See the entire article. The method determines the distribution of the particles at a certain time, determines the distribution of the particles at a steady state

Art Unit: 2877

position of the phase interface. The model defines the medium as having a phase interface, which is described by a discontinuous transition between the two phases. The method performed on the basis of a model wherein the medium is described by a data field having a first value for the first phase and a second value for the second phase. The evolution of said data field is calculated by a differential equation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11, 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious said movement of the particles is calculated by a Monte Carlo type simulation of discrete particle hopping, where the hopping probability of a particle depends on the potential energy of the initial and the final particle position of the hopping event, and where the potential energy of the particle depends on the material phase, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 1.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kumashito teaches a computer simulation method of impurity with pileup phenomenon. Borden et al (US 6,483,594) disclose a method for determining the active dopant profile.

Art Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418.

The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00am to 4:30pm (EST), Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059, ext. 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

March 2, 2006